# 追求卓越政治行動組織 2014年11月4日選擇推薦名單

# **COALITION FOR EXCELLENCE** VOTER GUIDE – STATEWIDE AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY

November 4th, 2014

#### STATEWIDE CANDIDATES

Governor 州長 Lt. Gov 副州長 Secretary of State 州務卿 Controller 丰計長

Treasurer 財務長 Attorney General 州檢察官

Insurance Commissioner 保險委員 Ted Gaines

Neel Kashkari Ron Nehring Pete Peterson **Ashley Swearengin** John Chiang Ron Gold

#### MEMBER OF STATE BOARD **OF EQUALIZATION**

加州公平委員會

District 4

District 1 **George Runner** Fiona Ma District 2 District 3 **Jerome Horton** 

#### STATE SENATE CANDIDATES 加州參議員

SD 10 Peter Kuo SD 12 **Anthony Cannella SD 14 Andy Vidak SD 32** Mario Guerra SD 34 Janet Nauven

### STATE ASSEMBLY CANDIDATES 加州眾議員

AD 16 Catharine Baker Susan Shellev AD 45 AD 20 Jaime Patino **AD 48** Joe M. Gardner Ling-Ling Chang **AD 28 Chuck Page** AD 55 **AD 36 Tom Lackey AD 57 Rita Topalian AD 40** Marc Steinorth AD 60 **Eric Linder** AD 41 Nathaniel Tsai AD 65 Young Kim **AD 44** Rob McCov **AD 66 David Hadley** 

#### PROPOSITIONS 議題

# Proposition 1 - Yes

Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014. Our population has doubled since we built our current state and federal water system. This bond will help fund new above-ground storage, help capture stormwater runoff, fund groundwater cleanup, and build recycling facilities. We are in the worst drought in California's recorded history. This bond won't help us for this dry period, but it will help us in the future. This water bond received 100% yes vote on the Senate Floor, and only two no votes on the Assembly floor. It is that important.

## Proposition 2 – Yes

State Budget. Budget Stabilization Account. Legislative Constitutional Amendment. Known as the "Rainy Day Fund", this measure forces discipline upon the state government to pay down state debt, and put money aside every year to prepare for the bad years that come at least once every decade. Without being forced to put money aside, the Legislature will spend whatever they receive, and raise your taxes when they can't afford the level of government they have built. This is a good proposition.

## Proposition 45 – No

Healthcare Insurance. Rate Changes. Initiative Statute. This measure requires anything associated with health insurance, including rates, to be approved by the Insurance Commissioner. These rate issues are already reviewed by the state Department of Managed Health Care. Having a politician in the mix would be disastrous for health insurance in the state. There will result in more regulations, which will lead to fewer healthcare providers, which will lead to fewer options available, which will drive up costs with more mandates and less competition.

## Proposition 46 – No

Diane Harkey

Drug and Alcohol Testing of Doctors. Medical Negligence lawsuits. Initiative Statute. This initiative raises the cap on pain and suffering damages in medical malpractice, and permits lawyers to take a much higher percentage of these awards. The drug testing is the teaser to get you to vote for more compensation for trial attorneys, the real reason this is on the ballot. Proof of this is that 97% of the funding for the "ves" campaign is coming from the Trial Lawyers. This will drive our state medical costs even higher.

## Proposition 47 – No

Criminal Sentences, Misdemeanor Penalties, Initiative Statute, Requires that certain crimes be punished as misdemeanors instead of felonies. Such as predators who use of date-rape drugs or those who steal guns. Opposed by most law enforcement organizations.

# Proposition 48 – No

Indian Gaming Compacts. Referendum. The Legislature approved a gaming compact for a tribe that wants to build a casino at a site in Central Valley many miles from their reservation. A Yes vote is to agree with this pact: a no vote means you don't like this gaming compact. If this passes, expect many other tribes to acquire property near major freeways to locate lucrative casinos.

#### LA COUNTY JUDICIAL RACES – VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFOCE

Justice, California State Court of Appeal: District 2, Division 1 • Frances Rothschild- NO • Jeffrey W. Johnson- YES

Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 2 • Brian M. Hoffstadt- NO Justice, California State Court of Appeal: District 2, Division 3 • Lee Anne Edmon-NO

Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 4 • Nora M. Manella- YES Audrev B. Collins- NO

Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 5 • Paul A. Turner-YES Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 6 • Kenneth R. Yegan-YES

Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 7 • Dennis M. Perluss-NO Justice, California State Court of Appeal; District 2, Division 8 • Madeleine I. Flier- NO

Laurence D. Rubin-NO

Judge of the Superior Court; County of Los Angeles; Office 61 (Vote for one)

· Dayan Mathai

Judge of the Superior Court: County of Los Angeles: Office 87 (Vote for one)

Andrew M. Stein

#### **SCHOOL**

Superintendent of Public Instruction (Vote for one)

· Marshall Tuck

#### LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ASSESSOR (Vote for one) • John Morris

SHERIFF (Vote for one) • Iim McDonnell

以上文章由「追求卓越行動政治 組織」提供,內容並非由候選人 或候選人的競選團隊所授權。

本廣告由「追求卓越政治行動組 織」所提供,不代表本報立場。